

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/604,189	06/30/2003	Michael D. Bowman	03-0431 (BOE 0406 PA)	03-0431 (BOE 0406 PA) 1188	
27256	7590 10/05/2005		EXAMINER		
ARTZ & ARTZ, P.C. 28333 TELEGRAPH RD.			PARRIES, DRU M		
SUITE 250			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SOUTHFIELD, MI 48034			2836		
			DATE MAILED: 10/05/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	^	
	•	10/604,189	BOWMAN ET AL.	(m)	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	-	
		Dru M. Parries	2836		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addre	ess	
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ansions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailling date of this communication. It is period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by starterly received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN THE PROPERTY OF THE COMMUNICATION IN THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this comm NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
-	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30. This action is FINAL . 2b) To Since this application is in condition for allocation accordance with the practice under	This action is non-final. wance except for formal matters, p		erits is	
Dispositi	ion of Claims		•		
5)	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and ion Papers The specification is objected to by the Example The drawing(s) filed on 30 June 2003 is/are applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control oath or declaration is objected to by the	drawn from consideration. d/or election requirement. niner. a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Serection is required if the drawing(s) is consideration.	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR	• •	
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
2) Notic 3) Infor	et(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB or No(s)/Mail Date			52)	

Application/Control Number: 10/604,189 Page 2

Art Unit: 2836

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 12-19 are objected to because of the following informalities: The Examiner believes that some of the numbering of the dependency in the dependant claims may be off.

Also, some of the dependant claims are claimed as a "system", however they are dependant upon an independent claim that is a "method". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Soucy (6,476,510) and Lacy (6,510,369). Soucy teaches a power management system for an aircraft. He teaches plurality of secondary loads (direct generator, indirect load, Fig. 1), at least one flight condition sensor (engine speed sensor), and a controller (fuel supply controller & governor) coupled to the plurality of loads and the sensor. Soucy doesn't explicitly teach how the controller will control the system to work efficiently. Lacy teaches a system with a controller and primary (uncontrolled residential) and secondary (controlled residential) loads. Lacy teaches a controller that can determine the secondary power extraction, current operating conditions and secondary power extraction limit and can operate the plurality of secondary loads in response to the secondary power extraction and limits. (Abstract, lines 7-12) The controller, while

Application/Control Number: 10/604,189

Art Unit: 2836

determining current operating conditions determines the primary power extraction (power output to uncontrolled residential loads). Lacy also teaches the controller operating the secondary loads in priority (Col. 5, lines 56-64). He also teaches the controller limiting the operation the secondary loads when the power extraction is greater than the limit (Col. 4, lines 1-14). (Col. 2, lines 59-67; Col. 3, lines 28-36; Col. 4, lines 32-44) It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate Lacy's method of power distribution into Soucy's invention so that the engine can supply power to as many loads as possible in the safest possible way, and to make sure that the engine never exceeds its output capabilities which may lead to malfunction.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dru M. Parries whose telephone number is (571) 272-8542. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Thursday from 8:00am to 5:00pm. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Sircus, can be reached on 571-272-2800 x 36. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Art Unit: 2836

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DMP

9-26-2005

BRIAN SIRCUS

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2000